







### Lesson #4:

# Havruta on Shared Suffering

**Topic Areas:** Israel at War, Jewish values

Grade Level: Grades 9-12

## Abstract:

Using Havruta study, students will read a Talmudic excerpt and use guided questions to facilitate discussion between them. This lesson can connect to the High Holidays - a time when we express the fragility of our lives and the unknown of who will live and who will die in the coming year and we pray on behalf of the entire community. .

### **Lesson Goals:**







- 1. Students will practice active listening, close reading, and critical thinking skills.
- 2. Students will understand the importance in our tradition of feeling empathy for the pain experienced by our fellow Jews, no matter where they are.

### **Lesson Plan:**

- **1. Divide** students into pairs to read text and answer guiding questions.
- 2. The text makes three unique points about shared suffering:
  - If you don't identify with the community's suffering, you won't benefit when things get better.
  - · You shouldn't enjoy your life as usual when the community is suffering.
  - · You should do symbolic acts to identify with the community's suffering. In their havruta, students should consider arguments for and against the Talmud's stance.

# For example:



 $\bigvee$  I agree that it is important to identify with the suffering of the community because :

- It will make you a more sensitive person in general
- it will inspire you to take action
- you would want them identify with you if it was you one day
- · otherwise their situation will be forgotten.









# I think that it is problematic to identify with the suffering of the community:

- It could paralyze you and depress you into inaction.
- · It could make you not want to be Jewish.
- · It could make you scared.
- It is not authentic because you aren't really suffering.
- It doesn't help for everyone to be miserable it is better to go on with life and creativity and joy.
- The last text explains that in order to identify with the suffering of the community, Moshe sat on a rock instead of a pillow. As a closing to the lesson or as homework, discuss the value of solidarity symbols such as dogtags, pins, flags, ribbons, etc.









# Havruta Study: Talmud Taanit 11a

Read the following source with your partner carefully and slowly, pausing after each section to ensure understanding.

#### Section #1:

Our Rabbis have taught: When Israel is in trouble and one of them separates himself from them, then the two ministering angels who accompany every man come and place their hands upon his head and say,

'So-and-so who separated himself from the community shall not behold the consolation of the community.'

תָּנוֹ רַבָּנַן: בַּזְמַן שֶׁיִשְׂרָאֵל שְׁרוּיִין בְּצַעַר ופִירַשׁ אֶחָד מֵהֶן, בָּאִין שְׁנֵי מַלְאֲכֵי הַשְּׁרֵת שֶׁמְלַוִּין לוֹ לָאָדָם, ומַנִּיחִין לוֹ יְדֵיהֶן עַל רֹאשׁוֹ, וְאוֹמְרִים: פְּלוֹנִי זֶה שֶׁפֵירַשׁ מִן הַצִּבּור אַל יִרָאָה בְּנֵחָמַת צִבּור.

## Section #2:

Another [Baraitha] taught: When the community is in trouble let not a man say, 'I will go to my house and I will eat and drink and all will be well with me'.

תַּנְיָא אִידַךְ: בִּזְמַן שָׁהַצִּבּוּר שָׁרוּי בְּצַעַר, אַל יאמַר אָדָם: אֵלֵךְ לְבֵיתִי, וְאוֹכַל וְאֶשְׁתָּה, וְשָׁלוֹם עָלַיִךְ נַפְשִׁי.

### Section #3:

The Baraita continues: Rather, a person should be distressed together with the community. As we found with Moses our teacher that he was distressed together with the community, as it is stated during the war with Amalek: "But Moses' hands were heavy; and they took a stone, and put it under him, and he sat upon it" (Exodus 17:12). But didn't Moses have a cushion to sit upon; why was he forced to sit on a rock? Rather, Moses said as follows: Since the Jewish people are immersed in suffering, I too will be with them in suffering, as much as I am able, although I am not participating in the fighting."

אֶלָּא, יְצַעֵר אָדָם עִם הַצִּבּוּר, שֶׁכֵּן מָצִינוּ בְּמֹשֶׁה רַבֵּינוּ שָׁצִיעֵר עַצְמוֹ עִם הַצִּבּוּר, שֶׁנָאֱמַר: "וִידֵי מֹשֶׁה כְּבָדִים וַיִּקְחוּ אֶבֶן וַיָשִׂימוּ תַּחְתָּיוּ וַיֵשֶׁב עָלֶיהָ", וְכִי לֹא הָיָה לוֹ לְמֹשֶׁה כַּר אֶחָד אוֹ כֶּסֶת אַחַת לֵישֵׁב עָלֶיהָ? אֶלָא כָּךְ אָמַר מֹשֶׁה: הוֹאִיל וְיִשְׂרָאֵל שְׁרויִין בְּצַעַר – אַף אֲנִי אֶהְיֶה עִמָּהֶם בְּצַעַר. וְכָל הַמְצַעֵר עַצְמוֹ עִם הַצִּבּוּר – זוֹכֶה וְרוֹאֵה בָּנָחַמַת צִבּוּר.









What unique message does this section add to this topic?	
S	ection #1:
S	ection #2:
s	ection #3:
C	The Talmud asserts that it is important for individuals to identify with the suffering of the ommunity. Make two arguments that support the Talmud's assertion and two arguments that hallenge it.
	I agree that it is important to identify with the suffering of the community because :
	I think that it is problematic to identify with the suffering of the community:
	In order to identify with the suffering of the community, Moshe sat on a rock instead of a pillow. What symbolic action do you do or could you do to identify with the suffering of the Jewish community? Do you believe in the effectiveness of symbols of solidarity?









